

26-EX-000793

FILED IN OFFICE

JUN 18 2026

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
ATLANTA JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
STATE OF GEORGIA

CH. ALEXANDER
Clerk of Superior Court

Fulton County, Georgia

SNW

**STANDING CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER FOR CIVIL CASES
IN JUDGE GLANVILLE'S DIVISION**

The following terms govern the parties and their practice during the pre-trial phase of their civil case in this Division. The Court has issued a separate standing Order governing trial practice.

I. CONTACTING THE COURT

Wesley Kerns, Staff Attorney, is your principal contact. Whenever possible, communication with Mr. Kerns should be by telephone (404-612-8995) or e-mail (Wesley.Kerns@fultoncountyga.gov). Mr. Kerns is very busy; he will return your message as soon as he is able. Mailed and hand-delivered communications should be addressed as follows:

Wesley Kerns
185 Shirley Clarke Franklin Boulevard SW
Suite J1-8955
Atlanta, GA 30303

Electronic communication is encouraged. Documents e-mailed for the Court's review (motions and other pleadings) should be sent in .PDF format. Documents emailed for the Court's signature (proposed orders, etc.) should be sent in Microsoft Word format.

II. E-FILING

E-filing is mandatory for civil cases filed in Fulton County Superior Court. This means that electronic service of pleadings, other than the initial complaint and summons, is now legally sufficient. Every attorney of record and every *pro se* litigant must register with the Court's e-filing system. This can be accomplished at www.efilega.com. While e-filing ensures that your pleadings

and other documents are made part of the official record, it does not necessarily result in that pleading or document reaching the desk of either the Staff Attorney or the Judge. If there is a filing that you want to be sure will be brought to the attention of the Court, you should email a copy of same to Mr. Kerns.

III. CASE MANAGEMENT

1. Status Conference

At some time after the filing of an answer in a case, the Court will arrange a status conference. Unless the parties request otherwise, this conference may be telephonic or virtual. During the conference, the Court will solicit the parties' input as to deadlines for discovery and dispositive motions, as well as a possible trial date. Parties are encouraged to be thoughtful and candid when providing input as the Court will expect the parties to adhere to the dates established. **Requests to extend discovery, even by consent, will only be granted when exigent circumstances exist.** The parties will also offer their views as to the value of mediation in their case. All dates established during the conference will be memorialized in a written Scheduling Order or other such filing.

2. Other Conferences

Discovery, pre-trial, and settlement conferences promote the speedy, just, and efficient resolution of cases. Therefore, the Court encourages the parties to request a conference whenever they believe that such will be helpful and they have specific goals for the conference.

3. Extensions of Time

Because parties will be given an opportunity during their status conference to establish what they deem to be reasonable, workable deadlines, motions for extension typically will not be

granted as a matter of course. **Parties seeking an extension should explain *with specificity* the unanticipated or unforeseen circumstances necessitating the extension and should set forth a timetable for the completion of the task(s) for which the extension is sought.** The Court shall be notified immediately of any problem or dispute (*e.g.*, discovery issues, witness unavailability, illness, or the late addition of parties or claims) that could delay the case or cause a party to miss a deadline. A Leave of Absence filed that covers the period in which a filing is due does not absolve the attorney from submitting the filing timely. **Consent to extend discovery by the parties, without the Court's approval, is unenforceable.**

4. Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR)

The Court encourages ADR and will support any timely request to direct the matter to mediation, arbitration, or a judicially hosted settlement conference. The Court also reserves the right to mandate some form of ADR when necessary. Additionally, the Court will, in the appropriate circumstance, entertain a request for a non-binding summary jury trial. If the parties participate in ADR, they shall do so in a manner that does not delay discovery, motions or trial. Absent prior approval of the Court, participation in ADR will not justify the extension of any deadline previously established in a case.

IV. DISCOVERY

1. Deadlines

In the event an extension to the discovery deadline(s) established in the Scheduling Order is requested, the moving party shall submit a proposed Amended Scheduling Order, which must include all proposed deadline extensions as well as a statement indicating whether the Court has previously granted extension requests. All requests for discovery extensions shall include a basic

description of discovery conducted thus far, the requested deadline extension, a specific schedule of outstanding discovery to be completed during the requested extension, and an explanation as to why the deadline the parties set in the original Scheduling Order was insufficient.

All discovery requests must be served early enough so that the responses thereto are due on or before the last day of the discovery period. The Court typically will not enforce private agreements between the parties to conduct discovery beyond the end of the discovery period, nor will the Court ordinarily compel responses to discovery requests that were not served in time for responses to be made before the discovery period runs. Similarly, the Court typically will not mandate depositions for the preservation of testimony after the close of discovery if an objection is raised by the opposing party.

2. Responses

Boilerplate objections in response to discovery requests are prohibited. Parties should not mindlessly invoke the usual litany of rote objections, *e.g.*, attorney-client privilege, work-product immunity, overly broad/unduly burdensome, irrelevant, not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, etc.

General objections are also prohibited, *i.e.*, a party shall not include in its response to a discovery request a “Preamble” or “General Objections” section stating that the party objects to the discovery request “to the extent that” it violates some rule pertaining to discovery, *e.g.*, attorney-client privilege; work product immunity; the prohibition against discovery requests that are vague, ambiguous, overly broad, or unduly burdensome; etc. Instead, each individual discovery request must be met with *specific* objections thereto – but only those objections that *actually* apply to that particular request. Otherwise, it is impossible for the Court or the party upon whom the

discovery response is served to know exactly what objections have been asserted to each individual request. All such general objections shall be disregarded by the Court.

Finally, **a party who objects to a discovery request but then responds to the request must indicate whether the response is complete, i.e.,** whether additional information or documents would have been provided but for the objection(s). For example, in response to an interrogatory, a party is not permitted to raise objections and then state, "Subject to these objections and without waiving them, the response is as follows..." unless the party expressly indicates whether additional information would have been included in the response but for the objection(s).

3. Experts

Unless otherwise established in the written Scheduling Order, Plaintiff(s) shall disclose the names and opinions of all experts two months before discovery closes. Defendant(s) shall disclose the names and opinions of all experts one month before discovery closes.

4. Disputes

Direct, informal communication is encouraged between the parties to address potential discovery disputes before they become actual discovery disputes. If that fails, an aggrieved party must notify the Court of the discovery dispute by submitting a letter/e-mail demonstrating compliance with Uniform Superior Court Rule 6.4 and providing sufficient information and/or documentation to permit a meaningful telephone conference between the parties and the Court. **No party may file a motion to compel or a motion for a protective order without first having discussed the issue with the Court and opposing parties.** This stricture applies to disputes with non-parties as well. Motions to compel that do not comply with Rule 6.4 or that precede an initial conference with the Court will be denied. The Court will not hesitate to sanction a party and/or

counsel found to have abused the discovery process or to have flouted the rules and laws governing it.

5. Depositions

Absent extraordinary circumstances, opposing counsel (or *pro se* litigants) should be consulted before a deposition is noticed. Objections lodged during depositions should be noted but questions should be answered over those objections. If a serious, legitimate dispute arises during a deposition, the parties are encouraged to contact the Court to seek an on-the-spot resolution so that the deposition may continue.

V. MOTIONS

1. Deadlines

Unless otherwise established in the written Scheduling Order, dispositive motions must be filed within 30 days after the deadline for mandatory mediation. **Movants must provide courtesy copies of motions and related filings to the Court.** Electronic copies are preferred; hard copies are not required. Failure to respond to a motion within the time afforded by the Uniform Superior Court Rules (or as extended by Court order) will not prevent the Court from ruling once a motion is ripe for adjudication. An untimely request for oral argument will result in the request being denied.

Absent permission of the Court, movants may not file reply briefs. Replies filed without permission will be stricken from the record.

2. Format

Precision and concision are strongly encouraged. **Absent advance permission, no party may file a motion, brief, or response in excess of twenty (20) pages (excluding affidavits,**

deposition extracts, and other relevant exhibits). Documents exceeding twenty (20) pages that are filed without permission may be stricken from the record.

Every ministerial motion (e.g., motion to file reply brief, to extend discovery, etc.) must be accompanied by a proposed order (with the proposed order submitted electronically to Mr. Kerns in Microsoft Word format).

3. Hearings

As a general practice, motions will be decided upon the written submissions of the parties; however, the Court may request oral argument *sua sponte* or allow it upon good cause shown or as otherwise prescribed in the Civil Practice Act and the Uniform Superior Court Rules. A party seeking oral argument on a motion for summary judgment must comply with Uniform Superior Court Rule 6.3 and file a pleading to that effect. That Rule 6.3 pleading must also be e-mailed to Mr. Kerns, along with a proposed rule nisi in Microsoft Word format.

4. Court Reporter

The parties must provide their own court reporter if they desire to have any proceedings taken down. Attorneys have an affirmative duty to notify their clients that failure to have a proceeding reported may have an adverse effect on any appeal.


5. Proposed Orders

When a dispositive motion is ripe for adjudication, the parties are invited to submit proposed orders for review. All proposed orders should be submitted electronically to Mr. Kerns in Microsoft Word format. Proposed orders on motions for summary judgment should include detailed findings of facts and conclusions of law which the Court may adapt as appropriate.

VI. SANCTIONS

Failure to comply with basic principles of courtesy (to include timeliness), the Uniform Superior Court Rules, the Civil Practice Act, and the Court's orders (to include this one) may result in sanctions. Sanctions may include, but are not limited to, the striking of pleadings, an entry of default, and the charging of costs against the offending party.

SO ORDERED this 17th day of June 2026.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Ural Glanville', is written over a horizontal line.

THE HONORABLE URAL GLANVILLE, JUDGE
SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
ATLANTA JUDICIAL CIRCUIT